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For more than 45 years, Wood Mackenzie has developed insight along the energy value chain to capture all the key components affecting global commodity markets.

A rigorous comprehensive global integrated approach allows us to spot trends and forecast future dynamics before others.
Quickly benchmark and compare operator performance at the well level

Your questions:
- What does a company’s PDP decline rate look like?
- How does my onstream well performance compare to my peers?
- What companies are the top performers in the play?

Well Evaluator gives you the answers
- Run different scenarios and determine valuation impacts on the fly
- Build custom benchmark dashboards/reports and easily update them
- Compare well design & performance against peers
- Evaluate well performance at the reservoir level
- Communicate key performance drivers for a play
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Gas: Haynesville 2020 and beyond
Differentiated from tight oil, gas breakevens are down again

Gas productivity continues to increase, compounded by savings from oilfield service costs. In 2014 only 13% of the resource broke even under $3.00/mcf, by 2019 88% of it did.

Gas breakeven curve vintages

Source: Wood Mackenzie GEM
Where does the Haynesville sit in the stack?

Most of the Haynesville locations breakeven at $2.75 or less.

Source: Wood Mackenzie GEM
Where does the Haynesville sit in the stack?

The Haynesville breaks even at $2.75 or less with Louisiana still leading the way but Carthage saw the biggest decrease in breakeven.

10% breakevens by sub-play

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sub-play</th>
<th>HH breakeven (US$/mcf)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carthage</td>
<td>2.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shelby Trough</td>
<td>2.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shelby Trough NACOSANA</td>
<td>2.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green Wood Waskom Combo</td>
<td>2.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spider</td>
<td>2.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woodardville</td>
<td>2.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caspiana Core</td>
<td>2.57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Changes in breakevens by sub-play

- Carthage saw the biggest decrease in breakeven.

Source: Wood Mackenzie Lens Well Evaluator, GEM

Shelby Trough has been subdivided by county. Nacogdoches and San Augustine (NACOSANA) now have a separate type curve.

Spider has been subdivided by parish. De Soto parish within Spider now has a separate type curve.

Source: Wood Mackenzie North America Well Analysis Tool, NUTECH
How long will Louisiana’s Haynesville be number 1?

In 2020 we expect 70% of all Haynesville wells to be in Louisiana and that remains stable into 2030.

Haynesville % of total wells drilled by sub-play

Source: Wood Mackenzie Lens Well Evaluator, Supply model
Cost of supply: running room despite low gas prices

Over 28 TCF of recoverable gas in the traditional Louisiana core breaks even under $2.50 but the Texas sub-plays are competing for capital now.

Haynesville cost of supply curve

Source: Wood Mackenzie Lens Well Evaluator, Supply model
Oil: TMS & the Austin Chalk
Tight oil productivity gains are moderating while gas plays improve

In the gas plays longer laterals, proppant loading and wider inter-well spacing is pushing gas productivity higher.

Lateral normalized productivity trends (full year datasets)

Source: Wood Mackenzie Lens Well Evaluator, GEM
Lower 48 exploration and step-out activity

Louisiana is the only place we see true exploration right now. Most exploration plays are down-hole or up-hole tests.
Tuscaloosa Marine Shale – When the wells are good, they’re great

Traditionally the problem with the TMS was repeatability, this led to a huge spread in well results.

TMS play fairway

TMS production curve distributions (Oil only)
TMS: Recent developments

Progress has been made but complications in drilling and completing continue. Results continue to be mixed.

Australis TMS well evolution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Stewart 30H-1**: Oil IP30: 1,216 bbl/d
  - 162 kbd in eight months

- **Bergold 29H-2**: Oil IP30: 102 bbl/d
  - 18 kbd in seven months

- **Taylor 27H-1**: Oil IP30: 889 bbl/d
  - 92 kbd in four months

- **Williams 26H-2**: Oil IP30: 320 bbl/d
  - 34 kbd in four months

- **Quin 41-30 3H**: Oil IP30: 414 bbl/d
  - 387 bbd/d

- **Saxby 03-10 2H**: Oil IP30: 387 bbl/d

Source: Australis investor updates 2018-2020
Louisiana Austin Chalk: Water bearing zones, well costs and low perm

- Prime Rock + New Dawn
- Conoco Phillips: producing mainly water
- Australis: Oil shows while drilling through to TMS
- MRO: drilling issues
- EOG: Drilled Eagles Ranch and tested Ironwood (Shut in)

LA Austin Chalk exploration so far

Ultra low permeability

High D&C costs

Water bearing intervals
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TMS still in exploratory phase

It’s possible for breakevens to drop $10/bbl or more (PRB Niobrara) but reliability achieved through a better understanding of reservoirs and landing zones is imperative.

Liquids breakevens by play

Source: Wood Mackenzie GEM
Louisiana Austin Chalk still has a long way to go

Geologic and engineering constraints combined with very high breakevens paint a bleak picture for future Austin Chalk development. Success in the western sub-play is crucial.

Liquids breakevens by play

Source: Wood Mackenzie GEM

Source: Wood Mackenzie GEM
Put another way....

Roughly 77% and 83% of all the Wood Mackenzie modelled resource breaks even below the current TMS and Austin Chalk developments, respectively.

Source: Wood Mackenzie Lens Well Evaluator, GEM
Louisiana: Are you a bull or a bear?
Geographic advantage & lots of improvement room

Haynesville
- Export capacity
- Rock quality

TMS
- Repeatability
- Drill times

Inventory drops post 2030 & bad timing for new plays

Haynesville
- Locations
- Gas prices

TMS
- Challenging geology
- Capital pullback

Austin Chalk
- West vs East
- Eagle’s Ranch 14H

Austin Chalk
- Operators are pulling out
- Water, well costs and perm
Disclaimer

Strictly Private & Confidential

- These materials, including any updates to them, are published by and remain subject to the copyright of the Wood Mackenzie group ("Wood Mackenzie"), or its third-party licensors ("Licensors") as relevant, and are made available to clients of Wood Mackenzie under terms agreed between Wood Mackenzie and those clients. The use of these materials is governed by the terms and conditions of the agreement under which they were provided. The content and conclusions contained are confidential and may not be disclosed to any other person without Wood Mackenzie's prior written permission. Wood Mackenzie makes no warranty or representation about the accuracy or completeness of the information and data contained in these materials, which are provided 'as is'. The opinions expressed in these materials are those of Wood Mackenzie, and do not necessarily represent our Licensors' position or views. Nothing contained in them constitutes an offer to buy or to sell securities, or investment advice. Wood Mackenzie's products do not provide a comprehensive analysis of the financial position or prospects of any company or entity and nothing in any such product should be taken as comment regarding the value of the securities of any entity. If, notwithstanding the foregoing, you or any other person relies upon these materials in any way, Wood Mackenzie does not accept, and hereby disclaims to the extent permitted by law, all liability for any loss and damage suffered arising in connection with such reliance.

Copyright © 2019, Wood Mackenzie Limited. All rights reserved. Wood Mackenzie is a Verisk business.